



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,162	12/02/2003	Simon Robert Walmsley	PEA02US	6708
24011	7590	03/18/2009		
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD			EXAMINER	
393 DARLING STREET			UHLENHAKE, JASON S	
BALMAIN, 2041			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTRALIA			2853	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		03/18/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/727,162	Applicant(s) WALMSLEY ET AL.
	Examiner JASON S. UHLENHAKE	Art Unit 2853

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(o).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 January 2009.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-11 and 16-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-11 and 16-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/1449)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/8/2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Madeley (U.S. Pat. 6,637,860) in view of Tschida (U.S. Pub. 2003/0214554)

Madeley discloses

- ***regarding claim 1***, a print head comprising rows of printing nozzles formed by adjacent printing nozzle rows adjacently disposed print head modules which each have a different printing width (different nozzle count), the printing nozzle rows of the print head being configured so that there is at least one row

Art Unit: 2853

for printing each ink color of a plurality of ink colors (Column 7, Lines 32-43; Column 9, Lines 42-57; Column 13, Lines 2-14). Madeley discloses that nozzle rows formed by modules can be arranged in any fashion; therefore it would be obvious to arrange a print head comprising rows of printing nozzles formed by adjacent printing nozzle rows and being configured so that there is at least one row for printing each ink color of a plurality of ink colors (Column 9, Lines 42-57)

- ***regarding claim 11***, print head module configured to print a plurality of independent inks, each row is configured to print in one of the inks, and configured to supply each of the inks to at least one row (Column 6, Lines 48-54; Column 7, Lines 32-43)
- ***regarding claim 12***, wherein the printhead is a page width printhead (Column 13, Lines 2-14)

Madeley does not disclose expressly:

- ***regarding claim 1***, a printer controller for supplying dot data to a print head in a predetermined order, the print head comprising adjacently disposed print head modules, the printer controller being configured to order and time the supply of the dot data to the print head modules such the difference in any relative skew between adjacent rows of printing nozzles at the transition between the print head modules are at least partially compensated for

Tschida discloses:

- ***regarding claim 1***, a printer controller for supplying dot data to a print head in a predetermined order, the print head comprising adjacently disposed print head modules, the printer controller being configured to order and

Art Unit: 2853

time the supply of the dot data to the print head modules such the difference in the printing widths of the print head modules and any relative skew between adjacent rows of printing nozzles at the transition between the print head modules are at least partially compensated for (Paragraph 0028; 0146). Tschida discloses that any accumulation of error can be compensated by driver software, which includes different printing widths and skew between nozzle rows, therefore a controller supplies data in a predetermined order to compensate for errors, for the purpose of providing high-quality and high-resolution color images

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Tschida into the device of Madeley, for the purpose of providing a low-cost printing apparatus and employing a stationary print head array that rapidly provides high quality, high resolution color images

Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Madeley (U.S. Pat. 6,637,860) as modified by Tschida (U.S. Pub. 2003/0214554) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Askren (U.S. Pat. 6,350,004).

Madeley as modified by Tschida discloses all of the claimed limitations except for the following:

- *regarding claim 4*, wherein the printer controller is configured to compensate for the skew by introducing a relative delay into the dot data

Art Unit: 2853

- *regarding claim 5*, wherein the printhead is configured to print the dots at a predetermined spacing across its width, and wherein the delay introduced by the printer controller equated to an integral multiple of the spacing

Askren discloses:

- *regarding claim 4*, wherein the printer controller is configured to compensate for the skew by introducing a relative delay into the dot data (Column 2, Lines 50 - 57), for the purpose of improving the quality of printing.

- *regarding claim 5*, wherein the printhead is configured to print the dots at a predetermined spacing across its width, and wherein the delay introduced by the printer controller equated to an integral multiple of the spacing (Column 2, Lines 44 – 60), for the purpose of improving the quality of printing.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Askren into the device of Madeley as modified by Tschida, for the purpose of improving the quality of printing and increasing the printing speed.

Claims 2, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Madeley (U.S. Pat. 6,637,860) as modified by Tschida (U.S. Pub. 2003/0214554) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Dings et al (U.S. Pub. 2003/0218645)

Madeley as modified by Tschida discloses all of the claimed limitations except for the following:

Art Unit: 2853

- ***regarding claim 2***, the printer controller is configured to at least partially compensate for the relative skew between adjacent rows.
- ***regarding claim 16***, configured to compensate at least partially for a plurality of potential relative skews.

Dings et al discloses the following:

- ***regarding claims 2, 16***, a printer controller that is configured to compensate at least partially for plurality of relative skews (Paragraph 0013), for the purpose of accurately delivering liquid and improving the quality of printing.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Madeley as modified by Tschida, for the purpose of accurately delivering liquid and improving the quality of printing.

Claims 3, 7, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Madeley (U.S. Pat. 6,637,860) as modified by Tschida (U.S. Pub. 2003/0214554) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hackleman et al (U.S. Pat. 5,719,602).

Madeley as modified by Tschida discloses all of the claimed limitations except for the following:

- ***regarding claim 3***, wherein the relative skew between each of the plurality of the sets of the adjacent rows is the same

Art Unit: 2853

- ***regarding claim 7***, wherein at least one print head module includes adjacent rows, configured to print the same ink and the dot data is shifted serially through the first of the rows then through the second of the rows

Hackleman et al discloses:

- ***regarding claim 3***, wherein the relative skew between each of the plurality of the sets of the adjacent rows is the same (Column 4, lines 17 – 31). The purpose would have been to provide a system for compensating for skew of a print head nozzle and improving the quality of printing.

- ***regarding claim 7***, wherein at least one print head module includes adjacent rows, configured to print the same ink and the dot data is shifted serially through the first of the rows then through the second of the rows (Column 5, lines 59-67). The purpose would have been to provide a system for compensating for skew of a print head nozzle and improving the quality of printing.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Hackleman et al into the device of Madeley as modified by Tschida, for the purpose of providing a system for compensating for a skew of a print head nozzle and improving the quality of printing.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Madeley (U.S. Pat. 6,637,860) as modified by Tschida (U.S. Pub. 2003/0214554)

Art Unit: 2853

and Hackleman et al (U.S. Pat. 5,719,602) and further in view of Kamoshida et al (U.S. Pub. 2002/0075339).

Madeley as modified by Tschida and Hackleman et al discloses all of the claimed limitations except for the following:

- ***regarding claim 8***, data is shifted serially through the first rows in a first direction then looped back through the second of the rows in a second direction opposite the first.

Kamoshida et al discloses the following:

- ***regarding claim 8***, data is shifted serially (Paragraphs 0026, 0086) in a first direction then looped back through in a second direction opposite of the first (Paragraphs 0005, 0011). The feeding of the paper in the opposite direction for data to be scanned as taught by Kamoshida et al is the same concept as looping back through a second pair of nozzle rows in a opposite direction until all data has been supplied.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Kamoshida et al into the device of Madeley as modified by Tschida and Hackleman et al, for the purpose of improving the efficiency of the printing mechanism and thus improving the quality of printing.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Madeley (U.S. Pat. 6,637,860) as modified by Tschida (U.S. Pub. 2003/0214554)

Art Unit: 2853

and Hackleman et al (U.S. Pat. 5,719,602) and further in view of Walmsley (U.S. Pat 6,805,419).

Madeley as modified by Tschida and Hackleman et al discloses all of the claimed limitations except for the following:

- ***regarding claim 9***, wherein the first and second rows are configured to print odd and even dots respectively to supply the one or more first rows with odd dot data and the one or more second rows with even dot data.

Walmsley discloses the following:

- ***regarding claim 9***, rows configured to print odd and even dots respectively to supply the one or more first rows with odd dot data and the one or more second rows with even dot data (Column 14, lines 52-61).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Walmsley into the device of Madeley as modified by Tschida and Hackleman et al, for the purpose of improving the quality of printing

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Madeley (U.S. Pat. 6,637,860) as modified by Tschida (U.S. Pub. 2003/0214554) and Hackleman et al (U.S. Pat. 5,719,602) and further in view of Dings et al (U.S. Pub. 2003/0218645)

Madeley as modified by Tschida and Hackleman et al discloses all of the claimed limitations except for the following:

Art Unit: 2853

- ***regarding claim 10***, relative skew between the first and second rows of each pair of rows in a direction normal to printing at least be partially compensated for

Dings et al discloses the following:

- ***regarding claim 10***, relative skew between the first and second rows of each pair of rows in a direction normal to printing at least be partially compensated for (Paragraph 0013).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Dings et al into the device of Madeley as modified by Tschida and Hackleman et al, for the purpose of accurately delivering liquid and improving the quality of printing.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Madeley (U.S. Pat. 6,637,860) as modified by Tschida (U.S. Pub. 2003/0214554) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of King et al (U.S. Pat. 6,604,808).

Madeley as modified by Tschida discloses all of the claimed limitations except for the following:

- ***regarding claim 17***, configured to compensate at least partly for a fixed amount of the skew.

King et al discloses the following:

- ***regarding claim 17***, to compensate at least partly for a fixed amount of the skew (Column 5, lines 11-19).

Art Unit: 2853

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of King into the device of Madeley as modified by Tschida, for the purpose of correcting known skew errors improving the quality of the printing.

Claims 6 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Madeley (U.S. Pat. 6,637,860) as modified by Tschida (U.S. Pub. 2003/0214554) and Askren (U.S. Pat. 6,350,004) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Morita et al (U.S. Pat. 5,774,145).

Madeley as modified by Tschida and Askren discloses all of the claimed limitations except for the following:

- *regarding claim 6*, wherein nozzles of at least one of the rows of one print head modules are positioned outside the printable region due to skew between adjacent rows of the nozzles, and nozzles outside the printable region do not print
- *regarding claim 18*, wherein nozzles of the print head are disposed in a printable region of the print head, and at least one logical nozzle located outside the printable zone that can accept data but is not capable of printing.

Morita et al discloses the following:

- *regarding claims 6*, wherein nozzles of at least one of the rows of one print head modules are positioned outside the printable region due to skew

Art Unit: 2853

between adjacent rows of the nozzles (Column 3 lines 50-63). For the purpose of ensuring that no color mixture occurs and the operation is stable.

- *regarding claim 18*, wherein nozzles of the print head are disposed in a printable region of the print head, and at least one logical nozzle that is located outside of the printable zone and can accept data but is not capable of printing (Column 2 Lines 25-67, Column 3). The introduction of a relative delay into the dot data supplied, such that dot data is supplied to the correct nozzles is seen as a purpose and not a function of the device. For the purpose of ensuring that no color mixture occurs and the operation is stable.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Morita into the device of Madeley as modified by Tschida and Askren, for the purpose of ensuring that no color mixture occurs and the operation is stable.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/16/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Madeley discloses that nozzle rows formed by modules can be arranged in any fashion (Column 9, Lines 42-57), please see the rejection above

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Uhlenhake whose telephone number is (571) 272-5916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8-5.

Art Unit: 2853

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/JASON S UHLENHAKE/
Examiner, Art Unit 2853
March 16, 2009

/Julian D. Huffman/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2853